



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,771	09/19/2005	Osamu Funahashi	MAT-8742US	7878
52473	7590	12/08/2008		
RATNERPRESTIA P.O. BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482			EXAMINER ELBIN, JESSE A	
			ART UNIT 2614	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 12/08/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/549,771	Applicant(s) FUNAHASHI, OSAMU	
	Examiner JESSE A. ELBIN	Art Unit 2614	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JESSE A. ELBIN. (3) Jacques Etkowicz.

(2) Huyen Le. (4) Dawn Kerner.

Date of Interview: 20 November 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-7.

Identification of prior art discussed: Funahashi '415.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed interpretations of various terms (unitary point, end/terminal face/surface, connected, directly connected). An agreement was not reached as to specific language to overcome the prior art of record. Examiner agrees that the drawings in the Instant Application and prior art appear to differ in the connection between the 'suspension holder' and 'diaphragm'; however any amendments will require further consideration/search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/JESSE A ELBIN/ Examiner, Art Unit 2614	/CURTIS KUNTZ/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614
--	--